HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

(Division Bench)

Coram: Hon'ble Shri Jagdish Bhalla, Ag.C.J. &
Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W.P. No. 359 of 2006

Petitioners

1. Smt. Kiran Sao, W/o Kartik Sao, Aged about 35 years, Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat: Banora, Block, Tehsil and District: Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
2. Pramod Kumar, S/o Girdhari, Aged about 40 years, Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat: Sakarbaga, Post: Banora, Tehsil & District: Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
3. Indra Vilas Panda, S/o Narsinha Panda, Aged about 49 years, Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat: Bilaria, Tehsil & District: Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
4. Umesh Kumar Agrawal, S/o Laxmi Narayan Agrawal, Aged about 42 years, Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat: Mahapalli, Tehsil & District: Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.

Versus

Respondents

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Department of Industries, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. The Collector, Raigarh, District: Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue), Raigarh, District: Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
4. The President, Chhattisgarh Pollution Conservation Board, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
5. The Divisional Forest Officer, Raigarh, Forest Division: Raigarh, District: Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
6. Ind Agro Synergy Limited, Kotarlia, District: Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.

7. MSP Steel & Power Limited, Jamgaon, Tehsil & District: Raigarh Chhattisgarh.
8. Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board through its Secretary, Danganiya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Shri V. C. Ottalwar, Advocate with Shri Rajeev Shrivastava, Advocate for the petitioners.

Shri G. D. Waswani, Govt. Advocate for the respondents No. 1 to 3 and 5.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Advocate for the respondent No.4.

Shri Sanjay K. Agarwal, Advocate for the respondents No. 6 and 7.

ORAL ORDER

(Passed on this 12th day of November, 2007)

The following oral order of the Court is passed by **Hon'ble Shri Jagdish Bhalla, Acting C.J.**

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.4 informed that the respondents No. 6 and 7 were directed to install Electrostatic Precipitator, which is running continuously, thus there is no pollution in the area.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents No. 6 and 7 informed that the Electrostatic Precipitator is running continuously round the clock.

In the light of the above statements no further interference is called for.

Accordingly, the petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
S.K. Agnihotri
Judge

Sd/-
Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice